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Abstract—Analyzing student performance indicators has been a long-standing research direction, nowadays demanding for new multidimensional approaches tailored to the recent advent of Web 2.0 in education. This paper investigates two such potential learning performance indicators: i) students' active involvement with the Web 2.0 tools and ii) students' learning styles. By using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) we were able to identify a subset of student activities that are relevant to build up an orthogonal space of representation and those activities that may support prediction of learner success (measured as final grades). On the other hand, no significant correlations were found between the Felder-Silverman learning styles and students' performance in our case study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Predicting students' performance is an essential part of the instructional process, with the aim to find adequate strategies to offer appropriate feedback, reduce student dropout and increase learner success. In this paper we focus on two types of potential multidimensional performance indicators: active online participation and learning styles.

The effect of active participation has long been investigated in computer assisted learning and, recently, in online learning settings, although with contradictory results. Some authors reported that the use of discussion forums was the strongest indicator of student performance [1] or concluded that online participation enhanced student engagement and thus improved learning effectiveness [14]; conversely, others found that students were able to learn equally well on online courses regardless of their level of online participation [6]. As far as learning styles (LS) are concerned, several researchers assumed them to be a predictor of student success [5], while others found that they had no significant effect on learning performance [6, 11]. Paper [10] provides a summary of previous research on the relationship between LS and learning performance and shows that no unanimous conclusion can be drawn.

The present study explores both indicators in the context of a Web 2.0-enhanced learning environment. We decided to use Principal Component Analysis (PCA) method to address this issue, focusing on two different approaches: i) selection of a set of student activities of potential relevance for the educational process, identification of an orthogonal space of representation and evaluation of possible relationships between the space dimensions and the student performance; ii) measurement of the students' LS (according to the four-dimensional Felder-Silverman model - FSLSM [4]) and identification of the relationship between the LS and the student performance.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: the next section describes the context of the study, the instructional scenario based on Web 2.0 tools, and the methods used for data collection. The third section describes the data analysis and results. The final section outlines conclusions and future research directions.

II. CASE STUDY DESCRIPTION AND DATA COLLECTION

A. Experiment Settings

The context of the study is a course on "Web Applications’ Design", delivered to 4th year undergraduate students in Computer Science from the University of Craiova, Romania. A project-based learning (PBL) scenario was used, in which students had to work in teams, throughout the semester, in order to design and implement an authentic Web application. 45 students were enrolled in the course, grouped into 11 teams. The project activity was done in a blended mode: there were weekly face-to-face meetings between each team and the instructor and for the rest of the time students had to use four Web 2.0 tools (Blogger, MediaWiki, Delicious, Twitter) as support for their communication and collaboration activities. More details regarding the PBL scenario can be found in [7].

B. Data Collection

To investigate the relation between students' performance, their involvement with the Web 2.0 tools and their LS, three kinds of data were collected: i) students' final grades; ii) students' activity on the blog, wiki, Delicious and Twitter; iii) students' LS. While the former were taken from the gradebook (as provided by the instructor), the latter were gathered explicitly from the students, by means of the Index of Learning Style questionnaire [12] (filled in by 42 of the students). Finally, learners' activity data on the Web 2.0 tools were automatically collected by means of a dedicated platform, called eMUSE (empowering MashUps for Social E-learning) [8]. The recorded student actions refer to various types of learning activities: creating content (blog_post-entry, wiki_revise-page, wiki_upload-file), social interactions (delicious_add-friend-to-network), organizing content (delicious_post-bookmark), communication and feedback...
The number of actions of each type was computed for each student at the end of the semester; obviously, this is a quantitative indicator only, since it does not take into account the quality of the students’ actions (e.g., correctness of the wiki page, content of the blog post, relevance of the tweet, pertinence of the blog comment, usefulness of the bookmark).

C. Method

The collected data was analyzed by using PCA, a method of factorial analysis, widely used whenever dealing with a multidimensional space of representation (multivariate distribution) characterized by an incertitude on the orthogonality of the axes forming its basis [2] (here the 7 dimensions given by the student actions).

III. ANALYTICS AND DISCUSSION

Since the set of actions considered in this paper were not all carried out with equal frequencies, we had to normalize them to operate on a space of representation in which all the axes have the same scale and the same initial weight.

The calculation of the correlation matrix shows a high degree of correlation among the selected parameters with the exception of blog_post-comment (see Fig. 1).

The very strong correlation between delicious_add-friend-to-network and delicious_post-bookmark suggested us to consider only one of these two parameters; the same goes for the parameters wiki_upload-file and wiki_revise-page on one hand and twitter_post-tweet and blog_post-entry on the other hand. According to these observations we decided to apply PCA on two reduced four-dimensional subspaces: a) subspace I composed by blog_post-entry, blog_post-comment, delicious_add-friend-to-network and wiki_upload-file; b) subspace II composed by blog_post-entry, blog_post-comment, delicious_post-bookmark and wiki_revise-page.

Following our previous experience [9], we applied the PCA also to the four-dimensional space of FSLSM. The correlation matrix is not dissimilar from those observed in other studies [3, 13]. All are characterized by a quite relevant correlation between the sensing-intuitive and sequential-global dimensions (0.39 for the set of data considered in this work) and a weak correlation between active-reflective and visual-verbal dimensions (0.13). Peculiar to this set of data is a weak anti-correlation between active-reflective and
sensitive-intuitive dimensions.

Figure 4 shows roughly the contribution that each LS gives to Y1 and Y2 and where the students that got very high or low marks are located: no meaningful correlation between the final score and the students’ LS was identified. To cross-check, we tried to correlate the mean grade with each single dimension of FSLSM. No strong correlation between mean grade and sensing-intuitive and sequential-global dimensions has been observed (with a slight trend toward better results for intuitive and sequential students). A weak correlation (R around 0.2) emerges with the other two dimensions: more verbal and active students achieved a better grade (Fig. 5). Finally, we checked for possible correlations between the FSLSM dimensions and Y1 with no results.

Figure 5. Student mean grade vs. student learning style dimensions (active-reflective on the left, visual-verbal on the right)

- PCA is very effective in identifying the principal component (Y1_N) that should be monitored to predict the student success. The actions that, in our case, contribute most to Y1_N are those that involve content production (i.e., actions that imply a previous appropriation process) and its organization (i.e., actions that also imply awareness about the content). Not so relevant seems to be the social interaction. Providing feedback to content produced by the peers appears to be a fully independent variable determining the values taken by the second principal component (Y2_N) but its correlation with the final grade is unclear.
- FSLSM dimensions do not seem to be reliable predictors of the student performance.

As future work, we plan to: i) conduct larger studies, including a higher number of students, and perform more in-depth analyses of the recorded student actions; ii) add a qualitative dimension (i.e., evaluate the relevance of each action and the quality of the learner-generated content).

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The paper investigated the relations between students' performance, their involvement with Web 2.0 tools and their LS. The findings of this exploratory study include:
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